

Table of Contents
Description

Section No.	Description	Page No.
1.0	Introduction.....	E1-1
1.1	SSWD’s Application for a New License	E1-1
	1.1.1 The South Sutter Water District.....	E1-1
	1.1.2 Brief Description of the Project	E1-3
1.2	Purpose of Action and Need for Power	E1-7
	1.2.1 Purpose of Actions	E1-7
	1.2.2 Need for Power	E1-7
1.3	Statutory and Regulatory Requirements	E1-8
	1.3.1 Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918.....	E1-9
	1.3.2 Federal Power Act of 1920	E1-10
	1.3.2.1 Section 10(a) Recommendations	E1-10
	1.3.2.2 Section 10(j) Recommendations.....	E1-11
	1.3.2.3 Section 18 Fishway Prescriptions	E1-11
	1.3.3 Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940.....	E1-12
	1.3.4 California Fully Protected Species Statutes (1957)	E1-13
	1.3.5 National Historic Preservation Act of 1966.....	E1-14
	1.3.6 Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968.....	E1-15
	1.3.7 National Environmental Policy Act of 1969.....	E1-15
	1.3.8 Clean Air Act of 1970.....	E1-16
	1.3.9 Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1970.....	E1-17
	1.3.10 California Environmental Quality Act of 1970	E1-21
	1.3.11 Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972	E1-21
	1.3.12 California Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1972	E1-22
	1.3.13 Endangered Species Act of 1973	E1-22
	1.3.14 Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976.....	E1-25
	1.3.15 California Native Plant Protection Act of 1977	E1-26
	1.3.16 Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980	E1-26
	1.3.17 Wilderness Act of 1984	E1-27
	1.3.18 California Endangered Species Act of 1984.....	E1-27
	1.3.19 Americans with Disabilities Act of 2010.....	E1-28
1.4	Consultation Documentation	E1-28
	1.4.1 First Stage Consultation.....	E1-29
	1.4.1.1 Filing of NOI and PAD.....	E1-29
	1.4.1.2 FERC Notice.....	E1-30

Table of Contents (continued)

Section No.	Description	Page No.
1.4.1.3	Site Visit and Joint Meeting and Initial Indian Tribe Consultation during First Stage Consultation	E1-30
1.4.1.4	Comments on NOI and PAD	E1-31
1.4.1.5	Resolution of Study Disagreements	E1-33
1.4.2	Second Stage Consultation	E1-36
1.4.2.1	Formal Requests for FERC to Resolve a Study Disagreement	E1-37
1.4.2.2	Study Status	E1-37
1.4.2.3	Availability of Study Results	E1-37
1.4.2.4	Distribution of Draft Application for New License	E1-37
1.4.2.5	Comments on Draft Application for New License	E1-37
1.4.2.6	Attempt to Resolve Disagreements Regarding PM&E Plan	E1-38
1.4.2.7	Collaborative Development of PM&E Measures	E1-39
1.4.2.8	Filing of Final Application for New License	E1-41
1.4.3	Third Stage Consultation	E1-41
1.5	List of Attachments	E1-41
2.0	Proposed Action and Alternatives	E2-1
2.1	No Action Alternative	E2-1
2.1.1	Existing Project Facilities and Features	E2-1
2.1.1.1	Main Dam and Auxiliary Dams	E2-2
2.1.1.2	Camp Far West Reservoir	E2-4
2.1.1.3	Camp Far West Spillway	E2-6
2.1.1.4	Water Intakes and Water Conveyance Systems	E2-8
2.1.1.5	Camp Far West Powerhouse	E2-8
2.1.1.6	Camp Far West Switchyard	E2-9
2.1.1.7	Camp Far West Reservoir Recreation Facilities	E2-9
2.1.1.8	Gages	E2-10
2.1.1.9	Primary Project Roads and Trails	E2-14
2.1.2	Existing Project Boundary	E2-14
2.1.3	Existing Project Safety	E2-14
2.1.4	Operations	E2-14
2.1.4.1	Use of SSWD’s Water Balance/Operations Model	E2-14
2.1.4.2	Relicensing Hydrology Datasets	E2-18
2.1.4.3	Typical Operations	E2-20
2.1.5	Existing Environmental Measures	E2-23

Table of Contents (continued)

Section No.	Description	Page No.
	2.1.5.1 Conditions in Current FERC License	E2-23
	2.1.5.2 Measures in Other Existing Licenses, Agreements and Contracts that Affect Project Operations	E2-24
	2.1.6 Facility Maintenance.....	E2-29
	2.1.6.1 Camp Far West Powerhouse Maintenance	E2-29
	2.1.6.2 Other Facility Maintenance.....	E2-29
2.2	Proposed Changes to the Existing Project	E2-31
	2.2.1 Changes to Existing Project Facilities and Features	E2-31
	2.2.1.1 Camp Far West Reservoir Pool Raise.....	E2-31
	2.2.1.2 Other Changes to Existing Recreation Facilities	E2-48
	2.2.1.3 Changes to Primary Project Roads and Trails	E2-48
	2.2.1.4 Changes to Project Gages	E2-48
	2.2.2 Change to Existing FERC Project Boundary.....	E2-48
	2.2.3 Changes to Existing Project Operations	E2-50
	2.2.4 Changes to Conditions in the FERC License and Other Agreements	E2-51
	2.2.4.1 SSWD’s Proposed Conditions in the FERC license.....	E2-51
	2.2.4.2 Changes to Measures in Other Licenses, Agreements and Contracts that Affect Operations.....	E2-52
	2.2.5 Changes to Existing Facility Maintenance	E2-52
2.3	Alternatives Considered But Eliminated From Further Analysis	E2-53
	2.3.1 Retire the Project.....	E2-53
	2.3.2 Issue a Non-Power License.....	E2-53
	2.3.3 Federal Agency Takeover of the Project	E2-54
2.4	List of Attachments.....	E2-54
3.0	Environmental Analysis.....	E2-1
	3.1 General Description of the River Basin	E3-1
	3.1.1 Existing Water Projects in the Bear River Basin	E3-1
	3.1.1.1 Drum-Spaulding Project	E3-1
	3.1.1.2 Yuba-Bear Hydroelectric Project.....	E3-2
	3.1.1.3 Lake Combie/Combie North Aqueduct Projects	E3-2
	3.1.1.4 Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project	E3-2
	3.1.2 The River Basin	E3-2
	3.1.2.1 Bear River Basin.....	E3-5
	3.1.2.2 Feather River, Sacramento River and Delta	E3-6
	3.1.2.3 Potentially-Affected Bear River Stream Reaches.....	E3-8

Table of Contents (continued)

Section No.	Description	Page No.
	3.1.2.4 Bear River Basin Streams and Tributaries	E3-8
	3.1.2.5 Bear River Basin Dams	E3-8
	3.1.3 Climate	E3-11
	3.1.4 Major Land Uses	E3-11
	3.1.5 Major Water Uses	E3-12
3.2	Scope of Cumulative Effects Analysis	E3-12
	3.2.1 Geographic Scope for Analysis of Cumulatively Affected Resources	E3-12
	3.2.2 Temporal Scope for Analysis of Cumulatively Affected Resources ...	E3-13
	3.2.3 Past, Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions Considered for Analysis of Cumulatively Affected Resources	E3-13
	3.2.3.1 Past and Present Actions	E3-13
	3.2.3.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions	E3-14
3.3	Existing Environment and Effects	E3-15
3.4	List of Attachments	E3-16
	3.3.1 Geology and Soils	E3.3.1-1
	3.3.1.1 Affected Environment	E3.3.1-1
	3.3.1.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.1-36
	3.3.1.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.1-39
	3.3.1.4 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD	E3.3.1-39
	3.3.1.5 List of Attachments	E3.3.1-40
	3.3.2 Water Resources	E3.3.2-1
	3.3.2.1 Affected Environment	E3.3.2-1
	3.3.2.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.2-47
	3.3.2.3 Cumulative Effects	E3.3.2-73
	3.3.2.4 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.2-79
	3.3.2.5 PM&E Measures Not Adopted by SSWD	E3.3.2-79
	3.3.2.6 List of Attachments	E3.3.2-80
	3.3.3 Aquatic Resources	E3.3.3-1
	3.3.3.1 Affected Environment	E3.3.3-1
	3.3.3.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.3-91
	3.3.3.3 Cumulative Effects	E3.3.3-114
	3.3.3.4 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.3-116
	3.3.3.5 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD	E3.3.3-116

Table of Contents (continued)

Section No.	Description	Page No.
	3.3.3.6 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.3-121
3.3.4	Terrestrial Resources	E3.3.4-1
	3.3.4.1 Affected Environment.....	E3.3.4-1
	3.3.4.2 Wildlife Resources.....	E3.3.4-34
	3.3.4.3 Wetlands, Riparian, and Littoral Habitats of the Project Area.....	E3.3.4-68
	3.3.4.4 Environmental Effects	E3.3.4-87
	3.3.4.5 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.4-93
	3.3.4.7 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.4-93
3.3.5	Threatened and Endangered Species	E3.3.5-1
	3.3.5.1 Informal Consultation with USFWS and NMFS	E3.3.5-4
	3.3.5.2 ESA-listed Species and Critical Habitats Considered ...	E3.3.5-7
	3.3.5.3 Environmental Effects	E3.3.5-57
	3.3.5.4 Cumulative Effects.....	E3.3.5-68
	3.3.5.5 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD.....	E3.3.5-70
	3.3.5.6 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.5-73
3.3.6	Recreation Resources.....	E3.3.6-1
	3.3.6.1 Affected Environment.....	E3.3.6-1
	3.3.6.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.6-51
	3.3.6.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.6-58
	3.3.6.4 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD.....	E3.3.6-59
	3.3.6.5 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.6-62
3.3.7	Land Use.....	E3.3.7-1
	3.3.7.1 Affected Environment.....	E3.3.7-1
	3.3.7.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.7-17
	3.3.7.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.7-19
	3.3.7.4 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD.....	E3.3.7-19
	3.3.7.5 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.7-19
3.3.8	Aesthetic Resources	E3.3.8-1
	3.3.8.1 Affected Environment.....	E3.3.8-1
	3.3.8.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.8-5
	3.3.8.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.8-6
	3.3.8.4 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD.....	E3.3.8-6

Table of Contents (continued)

Section No.	Description	Page No.
	3.3.8.5 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.8-6
3.3.9	Socioeconomic Resources	E3.3.9-1
	3.3.9.1 Affected Environment.....	E3.3.9-1
	3.3.9.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.9-11
	3.3.9.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.9-12
	3.3.9.4 PM&E Measures Not Adopted by SSWD.....	E3.3.9-12
	3.3.9.5 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.9-12
3.3.10	Cultural Resources	E3.3.10-1
	3.3.10.1 Affected Environment.....	E3.3.10-1
	3.3.10.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.10-18
	3.3.10.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.10-21
	3.3.10.4 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD.....	E3.3.10-22
	3.3.10.5 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.10-22
3.3.11	Tribal Interests	E3.3.11-1
	3.3.11.1 Affected Environment.....	E3.3.11-1
	3.3.11.2 Environmental Effects	E3.3.11-3
	3.3.11.3 Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E3.3.11-4
	3.3.11.4 Measures or Studies Recommended by Agencies and Not Adopted by SSWD.....	E3.3.11-4
	3.3.11.5 List of Attachments.....	E3.3.11-5
4.0	Developmental Analysis.....	E4-1
4.1	Alternatives Considered in This Section.....	E4-2
4.2	Power and Developmental Benefits.....	E4-3
4.3	Comparison of Alternatives	E4-7
4.4	Other Developmental and Non-Developmental Benefits	E4-8
	4.4.1 Irrigation	E4-8
	4.4.2 Bay-Delta Contributions	E4-9
4.5	List of Attachments.....	E4-9
5.0	Conclusions.....	E5-1
5.1	Comparison of Alternatives	E5-1
5.2	Comprehensive Development and Recommended Alternative	E5-1
5.3	Unavoidable Adverse Effects	E5-1
5.4	Consistency with Comprehensive Plans	E5-1

Table of Contents (continued)

Section No.	Description	Page No.
5.4.1	California Department of Fish and Game. 2007. California Wildlife: Conservation Challenges, California’s Wildlife Action Plan. Sacramento, California. 2007.	E5-2
5.4.2	California Department of Fish and Game. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. National Marine Fisheries Service. Bureau of Reclamation. 1988. Cooperative agreement to implement actions to benefit winter-run Chinook salmon in the Sacramento River Basin. Sacramento, California. May 20, 1988.	E5-2
5.4.3	California Department of Fish and Game. 1990. Central Valley Salmon and Steelhead Restoration and Enhancement Plan. Sacramento, California. April 1990.	E5-3
5.4.4	California Department of Fish and Game. 1993. Restoring Central Valley Streams: A Plan for Action. Sacramento, California. November 1993.	E5-3
5.4.5	California Department of Fish and Game. 1996. Steelhead Restoration and Management Plan for California. February 1996.	E5-4
5.4.6	California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2003. Strategic Plan for Trout Management: A Plan for 2004 and Beyond. Sacramento, California. November 2003.	E5-4
5.4.7	California Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2008. California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan. Sacramento, California. January 18, 2008.	E5-5
5.4.8	California Department of Parks and Recreation. 1998. Public Opinions and Attitudes on Outdoor Recreation in California. Sacramento, California. March 1998.	E5-5
5.4.9	California Department of Parks and Recreation. 1980. Recreation Outlook in Planning District 3. Sacramento, California. June 1980. 82 pp.	E5-5
5.4.10	California Department of Parks and Recreation. 1994. Statewide California Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP). Sacramento, California. April 1994.	E5-6
5.4.11	California State Water Resources Control Board. 2018. Bay-Delta Plan: Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary. Sacramento, California. December 2018.	E5-6
5.4.12	California State Water Resources Control Board. 2018. Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins and Appendices. Sacramento, California. May 2018.	E5-7
5.4.13	The Resources Agency. 1989. Upper Sacramento River Fisheries and Riparian Habitat Management Plan. Sacramento, California. January 1989.	E5-7

Table of Contents (continued)

Section No.	Description	Page No.
5.4.14	National Marine Fisheries Service. 2014. Recovery Plan for the Evolutionary Significant Units of Sacramento River Winter-run Chinook salmon and Central Valley Spring-run Chinook salmon and the Distinct Population Segment of California Central Valley steelhead. Sacramento, California. July 2014.	E5-8
5.4.15	National Marine Fisheries Service. 2018. Final Recovery Plan for the Southern Distinct Population of North American Green Sturgeon. Sacramento, California. August 8, 2018.	E5-10
5.4.16	National Marine Fisheries Service. Pacific Fishery Management Council. 1978. Fishery Management Plan for Commercial and Recreational Salmon Fisheries off the Coasts of Washington, Oregon and California Commencing in 1978. March 1978.	E5-10
5.4.17	National Park Service. The Nationwide Rivers Inventory. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 1993.....	E5-11
5.4.18	Pacific Fishery Management Council. 1988. Eighth Amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for Commercial and Recreational Salmon Fisheries off the Coasts of Washington, Oregon and California Commencing in 1978. Portland, Oregon. January 1988...E5-11	E5-11
5.4.19	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1990. Central Valley Habitat Joint Venture Implementation Plan: A Component of the North American Waterfowl Management Plan. February 1990.	E5-12
5.4.20	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001. Final Restoration Plan for the Anadromous Fish Restoration Program. Department of the Interior, Sacramento, California. January 9, 2001.....	12
5.4.21	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Canadian Wildlife Service. 1986. North American Waterfowl Management Plan. Department of the Interior. Environment Canada. May 1986.	16
5.4.22	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. n.d. Fisheries USA: The Recreational Fisheries Policy of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Washington, D.C.....	16
5.5	List of Attachments.....	16
6.0	References Cited.....	E6-1

List of Figures

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
1.1-1.	South Sutter Water District’s service area.....	E1-2
1.1-2.	Bear River watershed in relation to the Feather River and other tributaries to the Sacramento River.....	E1-4

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
1.1-3.	SSWD’s Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project and Proposed Project Vicinity.	E1-5
2.1-1.	Photograph of some Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project facilities and features.	E2-3
2.1-2.	Camp Far West Reservoir and associated facilities and features.	E2-5
2.1-3.	Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project minimum flow compliance gage (USGS Gage 11423800, Bear River Fish Release below Camp Far West Reservoir.	E2-11
2.1-4.	Location of downstream flow streamflow gages.	E2-13
2.1-5.	Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project, SSWD and CFWID service territories, and Ops Model nodes.	E2-16
2.1-6.	Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project releases and storage in a representative Wet Water Year – 1995 (Historical Hydrology).	E2-22
2.1-7.	Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project releases and storage in a representative Normal Water Year – 2003 (Historical Hydrology).	E2-22
2.1-8.	Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project releases and storage in a representative Dry Water Year – 2001 (Historical Hydrology).	E2-23
2.2-1.	Conceptual level plan for Camp Far West Reservoir Pool Raise – general plan.	E2-33
2.2-2.	Conceptual level plan for Camp Far West Reservoir Pool Raise – spillway and road profiles.	E2-34
2.2-3.	Conceptual level plan for Camp Far West Reservoir Pool Raise – spillway and road typical sections.	E2-35
2.2-4.	Conceptual level plan for Camp Far West Reservoir Pool Raise - spillway typical section.	E2-36
2.2-5.	Anticipated construction laydown area and staging area for the Pool Raise.	E2-38
2.2-6.	Anticipated traffic detour route during construction of the Pool Raise.	E2-40
3.1-1.	Streambed gradient of the Bear River from Camp Far West Reservoir, the most upstream Project facility, to the Bear River’s confluence with the Feather River.	E3-3
3.1-2.	Bear River drainage sub-basins.	E3-4
3.1-3.	General location of dams within the Bear River watershed.	E3-10
3.3.1-1.	Generalized geologic map of the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.1-3
3.3.1-2.	Historical seismicity in the surrounding area of the Project. Reproduced from NID Centennial Reservoir Project Geotechnical Engineering Report (NID 2017).	E3.3.1-5

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.1-3.	Active and inactive mines in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.1-7
3.3.1-4.	Soil associations in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.1-10
3.3.1-5.	Slopes in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.1-15
3.3.1-6.	Camp Far West Dam and Spillway Channel on the Bear River at RM 16.9. The red circle indicates the alluvial fan.	E3.3.1-20
3.3.1-7.	An example of bank erosion, gravel bar formation, and giant cane concentration in the lower Bear River (RM 13).	E3.3.1-22
3.3.1-8.	Area for each stability class within sub-reaches of the lower Bear River between the Feather River to the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.1-24
3.3.1-9.	Rating curve for the Bear River at Wheatland USGS Gage 11424000 at Hwy 65 (RM 11.5) based on Instantaneous Peaks 1964 to 2015.	E3.3.1-26
3.3.1-10.	Determining the elevation of 1.5 yr frequency flow (2,656 cfs) for the Bear River at Hwy 65 (RM 11.5) based on instantaneous peaks 1964 to 2015 at USGS Gage station 11424000.	E3.3.1-27
3.3.1-11.	Bank types classified in the lower Bear River at 10 random sites between the SSWD Diversion and the Feather River. From: Figure 19. Classification and morphological interpretation of typical bank profiles (Thorne 1998).	E3.3.1-28
3.3.1-12.	Longitudinal profile and habitat types mapped in the lower Bear River.	E3.3.1-33
3.3.1-13.	Effects of introduced giant cane in providing cover, pool formation, gravel bar deposition and scour, and sorting of spawning-size gravels (pre-2016-17 high flows).	E3.3.1-34
3.3.1-14.	Data from USGS Gage 11324000 of Bear River near Wheatland California showing the high flows of late 2016 and early 2017. (Source: waterdata.usgs.gov. Accessed 2/8/18).	E3.3.1-35
3.3.1-15.	Dairy Farm Mine location adjacent to Camp Far West Reservoir. Yellow shading represents current NMWSE (300 ft) and purple line represents the estimated Pool Raise NMWSE (305 ft).	E3.3.1-37
3.3.2-1.	Reservoir dissolved oxygen profiles near the Camp Far West Dam.	E3.3.2-13
3.3.2-2.	Reservoir dissolved oxygen profiles in the Rock Creek Arm of Camp Far West Reservoir.	E3.3.2-14
3.3.2-3.	Reservoir dissolved oxygen profiles in the Bear River Arm of Camp Far West Reservoir.	E3.3.2-14
3.3.2-4.	Statistical data for field measurements and suspended solids concentrations.	E3.3.2-15
3.3.2-5.	Hourly DO concentrations (mg/L) with Camp Far West Powerhouse operating (249-390 cfs), diversions occurring (199-381 cfs), and flows at Wheatland Gage (13-31 cfs).	E3.3.2-18

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.2-6.	Hourly DO concentrations (mg/L) with Camp Far West Powerhouse not operating, no diversions occurring, and flows at Wheatland Gage (15-26 cfs).....	E3.3.2-19
3.3.2-7.	Field measurements taken in the Bear River near the Feather River confluence.....	E3.3.2-20
3.3.2-8.	Nutrient measurements taken in the Bear River near the Feather River confluence. T = total, D = dissolved.....	E3.3.2-20
3.3.2-9.	Mineral measurements taken in the Bear River near the Feather River confluence. T = total, D = dissolved.....	E3.3.2-21
3.3.2-10.	Metals measurements taken in the Bear River near the Feather River confluence. T = total, D = dissolved.....	E3.3.2-22
3.3.2-11.	Hourly DO concentrations (mg/L) with Camp Far West Powerhouse operating (249-390 cfs), diversions occurring (199-381 cfs), and flows at Wheatland Gage (13-31 cfs) in September 2017.....	E3.3.2-26
3.3.2-12.	Hourly DO concentrations (mg/L) with Camp Far West Powerhouse not operating, no diversions occurring, and flows at Wheatland Gage (15-26 cfs) in November 2017.....	E3.3.2-27
3.3.2-13.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in Rock Creek upstream of Camp Far West Reservoir.....	E3.3.2-28
3.3.2-14.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Bear River upstream of Camp Far West Reservoir (RM 25.1).....	E3.3.2-29
3.3.2-15.	Reservoir water temperature profiles near the Camp Far West Dam.....	E3.3.2-30
3.3.2-16.	Reservoir water temperature profiles in the Rock Creek Arm of Camp Far West Reservoir.....	E3.3.2-30
3.3.2-17.	Reservoir water temperature profiles in the Bear River Arm of Camp Far West Reservoir.....	E3.3.2-31
3.3.2-18.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Bear River downstream of the Camp Far West Dam (RM 18.0).....	E3.3.2-32
3.3.2-19.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Bear River downstream of the SSWD Non-Project Diversion Dam (RM 16.9).....	E3.3.2-33
3.3.2-20.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Bear River downstream of the Highway 65 Bridge (RM 11.4).....	E3.3.2-34
3.3.2-21.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Bear River upstream of the Pleasant Grove Rd. Bridge (RM 7.4).....	E3.3.2-34
3.3.2-22.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Bear River downstream of the Highway 70 Bridge (RM 3.5).....	E3.3.2-35

List of Figures (continued)
Description

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.2-23.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Bear River upstream of the Feather River confluence (RM 0.1).....	E3.3.2-35
3.3.2-24.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in Dry Creek upstream of the Bear River confluence.	E3.3.2-36
3.3.2-25.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Feather River upstream of the Bear River confluence.....	E3.3.2-37
3.3.2-26.	Daily minimum, average and maximum water temperature in the Feather River downstream of the Bear River confluence.....	E3.3.2-37
3.3.2-27.	Water temperature time series from the upper Patterson Sand and Gravel site for the period of May 28 to August 4, 2003.....	E3.3.2-38
3.3.2-28.	Water temperature time series from the lower Patterson Sand and Gravel site for the period of May 28 to August 4, 2003.....	E3.3.2-39
3.3.2-29.	Results of water temperature model validation in Dry Creek upstream of the Bear River for the period of October 6, 2015 to September 29, 2016. Daily average simulated water temperature are red, daily average observed water temperatures are green.	E3.3.2-40
3.3.2-30.	Simulated daily average water temperatures for a representative wet WY (1995) at various locations in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.....	E3.3.2-43
3.3.2-31.	Simulated daily average water temperatures for a representative normal WY (2003) at various locations in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-43
3.3.2-32.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative dry WY (2001) at various locations in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-44
3.3.2-33.	Simulated daily flows for the Bear River below the non-Project diversion dam for the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project for a representative wet WY (1995). Flow is plotted in logarithmic scale to better show both high and low values.	E3.3.2-49
3.3.2-34.	Simulated daily flows for the Bear River below the non-Project diversion dam for the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project for a representative normal WY (2003). Flow is plotted in logarithmic scale to better show both high and low values.	E3.3.2-49
3.3.2-35.	Simulated daily flows for the Bear River below the non-project diversion dam for the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project for a representative dry WY (2001). Flow is plotted in logarithmic scale to better show both high and low values.	E3.3.2-50

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.2-36.	Simulated daily Camp Far West Reservoir storage for the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project for representative wet (1995), normal (2003) and dry (2001) WYs.....	E3.3.2-51
3.3.2-37.	Simulated daily Camp Far West Reservoir water-surface elevation for the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project for representative wet (1995), normal (2003) and dry (2001) WYs.....	E3.3.2-51
3.3.2-38.	Exceedance curves of modeled annual irrigation diversions to SSWD and CFWID customers for the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project for WYs 1976 through 2014.	E3.3.2-60
3.3.2-39.	Exceedance curves of modeled annual irrigation diversions to CFWID customers for the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.....	E3.3.2-61
3.3.2-40.	Exceedance curves of modeled mean daily water temperatures in the Bear River downstream of Camp Far West Reservoir for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.	E3.3.2-62
3.3.2-41.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative wet WY (1995) at various locations in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-64
3.3.2-42.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative wet WY (1995) at Pleasant Grove Bridge in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-64
3.3.2-43.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative wet WY (1995) at Highway 70 in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-65
3.3.2-44.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative normal WY (2003) at various locations in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-65
3.3.2-45.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative normal WY (2003) at Pleasant Grove Bridge in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-66
3.3.2-46.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative normal WY (2003) at Highway 70 in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-66
3.3.2-47.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative dry WY (2001) at various locations in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-67
3.3.2-48.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative dry WY (2001) at Pleasant Grove Bridge in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-67

List of Figures (continued)
Description

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.2-49.	Simulated daily water temperatures for a representative dry WY (2001) at Highway 70 in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam.	E3.3.2-68
3.3.2-50.	Exceedance curves of modeled mean daily water temperatures in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.	E3.3.2-69
3.3.2-51.	Exceedance curves of modeled mean daily water temperatures in the Bear River at Highway 65 for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project for WYs 1976 through 2014.	E3.3.2-70
3.3.2-52.	Exceedance curves of modeled mean daily water temperatures in the Bear River at Pleasant Grove Road for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project for WYs 1976 through 2014.	E3.3.2-71
3.3.2-53.	Exceedance curves of modeled mean daily water temperatures in the Bear River at Highway 70 for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project for WYs 1976 through 2014.	E3.3.2-72
3.3.2-54.	Exceedance curves of modeled mean daily flows below Camp Far West Dam and the non-Project Diversion Dam for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project for WYs 1976 through 2014, limited to April 15 through October 15.	E3.3.2-75
3.3.2-55.	Simulated daily average water temperatures for a representative wet WY (1995) at various locations Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam for the Proposed Project (Near-Term and Future) relative to reservoir inflow temperature.	E3.3.2-77
3.3.2-56.	Simulated daily average water temperatures for a representative normal WY (2003) at various locations Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam for the Proposed Project (Near-Term and Future) relative to reservoir inflow temperature.	E3.3.2-78
3.3.2-57.	Simulated daily average water temperatures for a representative dry WY (2001) at various locations Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam for the Proposed Project (Near-Term and Future) relative to reservoir inflow temperature.	E3.3.2-78
3.3.3-1.	Longitudinal profile and habitat types mapped in the lower Bear River.	E3.3.3-33
3.3.3-2.	Lower Bear River Reaches 1 and 2 boat electrofishing, backpack electrofishing and snorkeling and seining sampling sites and eDNA detections.	E3.3.3-36
3.3.3-3.	Lower Bear River Reach 3 snorkeling and seining sampling sites and eDNA detections.	E3.3.3-37
3.3.3-4.	Lower Bear River Reach 4 snorkeling and seining sampling site and eDNA detections in Reaches 4 and 5.	E3.3.3-38

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-5.	Length-frequency of fishes collected during electrofishing in Reach 1.....	E3.3.3-41
3.3.3-6.	Locations of habitat units sampled during boat electrofishing.	E3.3.3-42
3.3.3-7.	Overall CPUE (fish/min) with composition of species collected during boat electrofishing in Reach 1.	E3.3.3-43
3.3.3-8.	Percent composition by habitat unit during boat electrofishing in Reach 1.....	E3.3.3-44
3.3.3-9.	O. mykiss captured in Reach 3 during the May sampling event.	E3.3.3-50
3.3.3-10.	eDNA sampling locations and species detected (Reach 2).....	E3.3.3-53
3.3.3-11.	eDNA sampling location and species detected (Reach 3).	E3.3.3-54
3.3.3-12.	eDNA sampling locations and species detected (Reaches 4 and 5).....	E3.3.3-55
3.3.3-13.	Typical Chinook salmon redd on the lower Bear River, photo taken during November 7, 2016 redd survey.	E3.3.3-56
3.3.3-14.	Discharge in the lower Bear River (measured at USGS Wheatland gage) during the 2016-17 redd survey season (October 1, 2016 through March 30, 2017).	E3.3.3-57
3.3.3-15.	Discharge in the lower Bear River during the 2017-18 redd survey season (October 1, 2017 through March 30, 2018).	E3.3.3-58
3.3.3-16.	Locations of redds observed during surveys in Reach 2 in 2016 and 2018.....	E3.3.3-59
3.3.3-17.	Locations of redds observed during surveys in Reach 2 in 2016 and 2018.....	E3.3.3-60
3.3.3-18.	Locations of redds observed during surveys in Reaches 2 and 3 in 2016 and 2018.....	E3.3.3-61
3.3.3-19.	Locations of redds observed during surveys in Reach 3 in 2016 and 2018.....	E3.3.3-62
3.3.3-20.	Locations of redds observed during surveys in Reach 4 in 2016 and 2018.....	E3.3.3-63
3.3.3-21.	Cumulative size distribution of gravels at sites in the lower Bear River deemed to be suitable for salmonid spawning. Each black line represents a distribution of substrate sizes at a single site. The horizontal red line indicates the location of the 50th percentile of particle diameters, or D50 value. The vertical green lines indicate the lower and upper threshold diameters of gravel particle sizes that are commonly deemed suitable for salmonid spawning (0.11-5.9 in., or 2.8-150 mm).	E3.3.3-65
3.3.3-22.	Flows in the Bear and Feather Rivers during the 2018 SSWD water transfer.	E3.3.3-67

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-23.	Measured velocities at the confluence of the Bear and Feather rivers during the 2018 SSWD water transfer. Red indicated little to no velocity and green and blue represents higher velocities.....	E3.3.3-68
3.3.3-24.	Location of instream flow 2-D sampling sites.....	E3.3.3-69
3.3.3-25.	SSWD Upstream Site topographic contours and depth at 25 cfs.....	E3.3.3-73
3.3.3-26.	SSWD Downstream Site topographic contours and depth at 25 cfs.....	E3.3.3-74
3.3.3-27.	USFWS Site topographic contours and depth at 25 cfs.....	E3.3.3-75
3.3.3-28.	Chinook salmon spawning WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-78
3.3.3-29.	Chinook salmon fry rearing WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-79
3.3.3-30.	Chinook salmon juvenile rearing WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-79
3.3.3-31.	Steelhead spawning WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-80
3.3.3-32.	Steelhead fry rearing WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-80
3.3.3-33.	Steelhead juvenile rearing WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-81
3.3.3-34.	Hardhead juvenile WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-81
3.3.3-35.	Hardhead adult WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-82
3.3.3-36.	Sturgeon spawning WUA at SSWD and USFWS sites.....	E3.3.3-82
3.3.4-1.	VegCAMP Classifications within the proposed FERC Project Boundary for the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project.....	E3.3.4-3
3.3.4-2.	VegCAMP Classifications downstream of the proposed FERC Project Boundary for the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project.	E3.3.4-11
3.3.4-3.	VegCAMP Classifications downstream of the proposed FERC Project Boundary for the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project.	E3.3.4-12
3.3.4-4.	VegCAMP Classifications downstream of the proposed FERC Project Boundary for the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project.	E3.3.4-13
3.3.4-5.	VegCAMP Classifications downstream of the proposed FERC Project Boundary for the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project.	E3.3.4-14
3.3.4-6.	Study Area for special-status plants and NNIP studies.	E3.3.4-21
3.3.4-7.	Special-status raptor 2017 sightings within the Proposed Project Boundary.....	E3.3.4-55
3.3.4-8.	Active bald eagle nests and osprey nests found within the Proposed Project Boundary.	E3.3.4-59
3.3.4-9.	Blue heron rookery at South Shore Recreation Area with proposed 500 foot buffer	E3.3.4-60
3.3.4-10.	NWI-mapped wetlands, riparian, and littoral habitats within the proposed Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project Boundary.....	E3.3.4-69
3.3.4-11.	Aquatic resources located during 2018 delineation.....	E3.3.4-75

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.4-12.	NWI-mapped wetlands, riparian, and littoral habitats within the Bear River from Camp Far West Dam to the Feather River confluence.....	E3.3.4-81
3.3.4-13.	NWI-mapped wetlands, riparian, and littoral habitats within the Bear River from Camp Far West Dam to the Feather River confluence.....	E3.3.4-82
3.3.4-14.	Riparian VegCamp Vegetation Classification Map (downstream site).....	E3.3.4-85
3.3.4-15.	Riparian VegCamp Vegetation Classification Map (upstream site).....	E3.3.4-86
3.3.5-1.	Location of elderberry occurrence within the study area.....	E3.3.5-16
3.3.5-2.	Photographs of the eight distinct vernal pools identified during the February 2018 delineation.	E3.3.5-21
3.3.5-3.	Aquatic resources located during February 2018 delineation.....	E3.3.5-23
3.3.5-4.	Aquatic habitat locations identified and characterized within one mile of the Proposed Project Boundary, and American bullfrog survey sites.....	E3.3.5-29
3.3.5-5.	Stock pond (location 135) near the North Shore Recreation Area sewage pond as shown when dry during an October 2017 site visit and when wet during a February 2018 site visit.	E3.3.5-32
3.3.5-6.	Modeled water temperatures in water year 1995 (a representative wet WY) under the Environmental Baseline.	E3.3.5-44
3.3.5-7.	Modeled water temperatures in water year 2003 (a representative normal WY) under the Environmental Baseline.....	E3.3.5-45
3.3.5-8.	Modeled water temperatures in water year 2001 (a representative dry WY) under the Environmental Baseline.....	E3.3.5-45
3.3.5-9.	Modeled water temperatures in water year 1995 (a representative wet WY) under the Proposed Action.	E3.3.5-65
3.3.5-10.	Modeled water temperatures in water year 2003 (a representative above normal WY) under the Proposed Action.	E3.3.5-65
3.3.5-11.	Modeled water temperatures in water year 2001 (a representative dry WY) under the Proposed Action.	E3.3.5-66
3.3.6-1.	Aerial site map of the North Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-5
3.3.6-2.	Representative photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the family campground at the North Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-7
3.3.6-3.	Representative photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the group campsites at the North Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-9
3.3.6-4.	Representative photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the Horse Camp at the North Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-10

List of Figures (continued)

Figure No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.6-5.	Representative photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the day use area at the North Shore Recreation Area.....	E3.3.6-11
3.3.6-6.	Representative photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the boat ramp facilities at the North Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-12
3.3.6-7.	Representative photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the dispersed use areas at the North Shore Recreation Area.....	E3.3.6-14
3.3.6-8.	Photographs (dated 4/2/18) of the recreational water system components.	E3.3.6-16
3.3.6-9.	Photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the entrance station and RV dump station at the North Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-17
3.3.6-10.	Aerial site map of the South Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-19
3.3.6-11.	Photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the family campground at the South Shore Recreation Area.....	E3.3.6-21
3.3.6-12.	Photograph (dated 7/21/15) of the group campsite at the South Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-23
3.3.6-13.	Photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the picnic area at the South Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-25
3.3.6-14.	Photograph (dated 7/21/15) of the swim beach at the South Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-26
3.3.6-15.	Photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the boat ramp facility at the South Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-27
3.3.6-16.	Photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the dispersed use areas at the South Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-28
3.3.6-17.	Photographs (dated 7/21/15) of the entrance station and RV dump station at the South Shore Recreation Area.	E3.3.6-29
3.3.6-18.	Functional use periods for the NSRA and SSRA boat ramps by median WSE and WY type based on SSWD’s Ops Model run results of the Near-Term Condition – Proposed Project for WYs 1976 through WY 2014.	E3.3.6-44
3.3.7-1.	California National Historic Trail in relation to the proposed FERC Project Boundary.	E3.3.7-5
3.3.7-2.	FEMA floodplains within a 1-mile wide buffer of the proposed FERC Project Boundary.	E3.3.7-7
3.3.7-3.	Location of Cal Fish and Wildlife’s Spenceville Wildlife Area and Placer County’s Kirk Ranch Conservation Easement area.....	E3.3.7-10
3.3.7-4.	Fire ignitions within the proposed Project Vicinity.....	E3.3.7-15

List of Tables
Description

Table No.	Description	Page No.
1.3-1.	Summary of statutory and regulatory requirements and status.....	E1-8
1.3-2.	Designated beneficial uses of surface waters within the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project Vicinity by HU in the Basin Plan.....	E1-18
1.3-3.	Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments for the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project and downstream of the Project.....	E1-20
1.4-1.	Studies proposed by SSWD in its March 2016 PAD.....	E1-29
1.4-2.	Parties that filed with FERC comments on SSWD’s March 2016 PAD.....	E1-32
1.4-3.	Requested study modifications and new studies.....	E1-32
1.4-4.	Number of requested modifications that SSWD adopted without modification, adopted with modification and did not adopt by study.....	E1-34
1.4-5.	Elements of requested new studies that SSWD adopted.	E1-34
1.4-6.	Summary of changes made based on November 21, 2016 Relicensing Participants Meeting.	E1-35
1.4-7.	Studies provided in SSWD’s January 9, 2017 letter to FERC and undertaken by SSWD in support of the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project relicensing.....	E1-36
1.4-8.	Parties that submitted written comments to SSWD on SSWD’s December 29, 2019, DLA.....	E1-37
1.4-9.	PM&E measures on which SSWD and Relicensing Participants reached agreement, indicated by an “X” in the respective cell.....	E1-40
2.1-1.	Key information regarding the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project’s powerhouse.	E2-1
2.1-2.	Key morphological information regarding the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project’s reservoir.	E2-2
2.1-3.	Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project recreation facilities.	E2-9
2.1-4.	Streamflow and other gages in the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project Vicinity.	E2-10
2.1-5.	Summary of Ops Model nodes and outputs.....	E2-15
2.1-6.	Flow gages used in analysis.....	E2-18
2.1-7.	List of active requirements in the existing FERC license for the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project.....	E2-23
2.1-8.	Water right permit held by SSWD for operation of the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project for power generation.....	E2-25
2.1-9.	Water rights held by SSWD for delivery to SSWD’s members within its service area for irrigation and domestic uses.....	E2-25

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
2.1-10.	Years in which SSWD has met the requirements in the Bear River Settlement Agreement and in its amended water rights.....	E2-27
2.1-11.	Water rights held by CFWID, downstream of Camp Far West Dam.	E2-28
2.1-12.	Annual SSWD water transfers in recent years.....	E2-28
2.2-1.	Draft preliminary schedule for construction of the Pool Raise.....	E2-41
2.2-2.	Anticipated permits and approvals that may be needed for the Pool Raise.....	E2-43
2.2-3.	Summary of proposed changes to the existing FERC Project Boundary.	E2-50
3.1-1.	Stream reaches in the Bear River Basin potentially affected by continued Project operations.....	E3-8
3.1-2.	Streams and tributaries to the Bear River.	E3-8
3.1-3.	Owners and capacities of dams and diversions in the Bear River Basin	E3-9
3.3.1-1.	Description of generalized geologic rock types in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.1-4
3.3.1-2.	Mines in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.1-8
3.3.1-3.	Soil associations in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.1-9
3.3.1-4.	Soil series and order summary description in the Project Vicinity.....	E3.3.1-11
3.3.1-5.	Accumulation rates in nearby reservoirs.....	E3.3.1-12
3.3.1-6.	Summary of slope classes within the Project Vicinity.....	E3.3.1-13
3.3.1-7.	Estimate of sediment stored within four stability classes within and adjacent to the lower Bear River.....	E3.3.1-23
3.3.1-8.	Area within stability class by sub-reach of the lower Bear River between the Feather River and the non-Project diversion dam.....	E3.3.1-24
3.3.1-9.	Channel confinement types, extent and location in the lower Bear River between the Feather River (RM 0) and non-Project Diversion (RM 16.9).	E3.3.1-25
3.3.1-10.	Summary of bank erosion quantified by channel type at 10 random sites in the lower Bear River between the non-Project Diversion and the Feather River.....	E3.3.1-28
3.3.1-11.	Area (height and length) of bank types quantified within 10 sites (20 channel widths in length) in the lower Bear River between the Feather River and the non-Project Diversion Dam.....	E3.3.1-29
3.3.1-12.	Area (square feet) of dominant substrate of bank types quantified within 10 sites (20 channel widths in length) in the lower Bear River between the Feather River and the non-Project Diversion Dam.	E3.3.1-29
3.3.1-13.	Summary of LWM count by diameter and length class within the lower Bear River between the Feather River and the non-Project diversion dam.....	E3.3.1-30

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.1-14.	Summary of key pieces of LWM within the lower Bear River between SSWD’s non-Project Diversion Dam and Feather River.....	E3.3.1-31
3.3.1-15.	LWM found in Bear River downstream instream flow study site (RM 7.7 to 8.3).....	E3.3.1-31
3.3.1-16.	Key piece characteristics within the downstream instream flow study site (RM 7.7 to 8.3).....	E3.3.1-32
3.3.1-17.	Dominant, subdominant and bank substrate total length and frequency in the Bear River.	E3.3.1-33
3.3.1-18.	2017 Habitat type, length and frequency, and 2016 pre-flood relative frequency of habitats in the lower Bear River.	E3.3.1-35
3.3.1-19.	Slopes inundated by the Pool Raise.....	E3.3.1-36
3.3.2-1.	No Action Alternative flows and storage by month from Baseline dataset.	E3.3.2-3
3.3.2-2.	Summary of water rights held by PG&E related to the Drum-Spaulding Hydroelectric Project (FERC project number 2310) in the Bear River.	E3.3.2-5
3.3.2-3.	Summary of water rights held by NID related to the Yuba-Bear Hydroelectric Project (FERC project number 2266) in the Bear River.....	E3.3.2-6
3.3.2-4.	Summary of non-consumptive water rights held by NID for the purpose of power generation and irrigation.....	E3.3.2-6
3.3.2-5.	Basin Plan Water Quality Objectives to support designated Beneficial Uses in the Project Vicinity.....	E3.3.2-7
3.3.2-6.	Water quality results from the SWAMP Perennial Streams Assessment.....	E3.3.2-9
3.3.2-7.	Water quality results from SSWD’s 2017 study at the Bear River upstream of Camp Far West Reservoir.....	E3.3.2-9
3.3.2-8.	Water quality results from SSWD’s 2017 study at Camp Far West Reservoir near the dam.	E3.3.2-11
3.3.2-9.	SSWD reservoir water quality profile locations at Camp Far West.	E3.3.2-13
3.3.2-10.	Conductivity and pH values for three monitoring locations at Camp Far West reservoir.	E3.3.2-15
3.3.2-11.	Water quality results from SSWD’s 2017 study at the Bear River downstream of the Camp Far West Powerhouse.....	E3.3.2-16
3.3.2-12.	Water quality measurements from the SWAMP Perennial Streams Assessment.....	E3.3.2-19
3.3.2-13.	Water quality data collected near Pleasant Grove Bridge as part of the Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program.....	E3.3.2-23

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.2-14.	Water quality results for SSWD’s 2017 study at three locations in the lower Bear River.	E3.3.2-24
3.3.2-15.	SSWD water temperature monitoring locations in the Bear River.....	E3.3.2-28
3.3.2-16.	Minimum and maximum water temperatures recorded at three locations in Camp Far West Reservoir by Alpers et al. (2008).	E3.3.2-31
3.3.2-17.	Minimum, mean and maximum monthly water temperatures in the Bear River near Wheatland. Collected once monthly by California Department of Water Resources for WY 1964 through WY 1987.....	E3.3.2-38
3.3.2-18.	Proposed Project flows and storage by month from SSWD’s Near-Term Condition dataset.....	E3.3.2-53
3.3.2-19.	Changes in Project flows and storage from No Action Alternative to SSWD’s Proposed Project (Near-Term).....	E3.3.2-56
3.3.2-20.	Average usable storage in Camp Far West Reservoir at the 10°C and 15°C isotherms for the modeled period of record (WYs 1976 through 2014) based on Ops Model and Temp Model results.....	E3.3.2-62
3.3.2-21.	Comparison of simulated mean monthly Camp Far West Reservoir release water temperatures for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.....	E3.3.2-63
3.3.2-22.	Comparison of simulated mean monthly water temperatures in the Bear River downstream of the non-Project diversion dam for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.	E3.3.2-69
3.3.2-23.	Comparison of simulated mean monthly water temperatures in the Bear River at Highway 65 for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.....	E3.3.2-70
3.3.2-24.	Comparison of simulated mean monthly water temperatures in the Bear River at Pleasant Grove Road for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.....	E3.3.2-71
3.3.2-25.	Comparison of simulated mean monthly water temperatures in the Bear River at Highway 70 for the No Action Alternative and Proposed Project (Near-Term) for WYs 1976 through 2014.....	E3.3.2-72
3.3.3-1.	Life stage-specific periodicities for CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU in the Yuba River. Reproduced from Lower Yuba River Accord River Management Team (2013). Gray shading is assumed presence.....	E3.3.3-3
3.3.3-2.	CDFG 1991 water temperatures for CV fall-run Chinook salmon life stages.	E3.3.3-3
3.3.3-3.	EPA water temperature guidelines (EPA 2003) for protection of anadromous salmonids by life stage.	E3.3.3-4

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-4.	Life history events for Yuba River Periodicity, EPA (2003) temperature guidelines, and instream flow life history variables merged into a single 12-month calendar for comparative reference.....	E3.3.3-5
3.3.3-5.	Estimates of spawning CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU in the lower Bear River.1	E3.3.3-7
3.3.3-6.	Aquatic invasive species known or with the potential to occur in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.3-14
3.3.3-7.	Fish species know to occur or with the potential to occur upstream, within, and downstream of the Project in alphabetical order.....	E3.3.3-28
3.3.3-8.	Orders and families of aquatic macroinvertebrates that were found at one location in the Bear River (upstream of the Project).....	E3.3.3-30
3.3.3-9.	Camp Far West Reservoir stocking records summary from 1964 to 1985, with missing years excluded from row entries.	E3.3.3-31
3.3.3-10.	CDFG 2012 Camp Far West Reservoir boat electrofishing summary of capture in descending order of abundance.....	E3.3.3-32
3.3.3-11.	Dominant, subdominant and bank substrate total length and frequency in the Bear River where measurements could be taken in a safe manner.	E3.3.3-33
3.3.3-12.	Bear River reach designations.	E3.3.3-34
3.3.3-13.	Methods, dates, and locations of sampling events for Study 3.2.....	E3.3.3-34
3.3.3-14.	Fishes, in alphabetical order, found in Reaches 1 through 4 during SSWD’s relicensing fish population surveys.....	E3.3.3-39
3.3.3-15.	Habitat characteristics for Reach 1 backpack electrofishing site.....	E3.3.3-40
3.3.3-16.	Population summary of backpack electrofishing site in Reach 1.	E3.3.3-40
3.3.3-17.	Population summary of boat electrofished habitat in Reach 1.	E3.3.3-43
3.3.3-18.	Overall catch per unit effort (CPUE in fish/min) by habitat unit during boat electrofishing in Reach 1.	E3.3.3-44
3.3.3-19.	Habitat characteristics for snorkel and seine sampling sites in Reaches 2 through 4.....	E3.3.3-45
3.3.3-20.	Population summary of snorkeled habitat units in Reaches 2 through 4.	E3.3.3-46
3.3.3-21.	Population summary of 10 m standardized seine hauls in Reaches 2 through 4.	E3.3.3-48
3.3.3-22.	Environmental DNA results through both sampling events for O. mykiss, Chinook salmon, green sturgeon, and white sturgeon.....	E3.3.3-52
3.3.3-23.	Minimum, maximum, and average values for redd area, pot depth and velocity, and substrate.....	E3.3.3-58

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-24.	Spawning gravel availability for primary (i.e. within the low-flow active channel) and secondary habitats that were surveyed, presented as the average percent of available habitat comprised by spawning gravels and shown by river mile. Primary habitats are further partitioned into non-pool (i.e. riffle/run/glide) and pool habitats.	E3.3.3-65
3.3.3-25.	Reach wide and Instream Flow Study site habitat frequency.	E3.3.3-70
3.3.3-26.	Calibration data collection summary for SSWD Instream Flow Study sites.	E3.3.3-70
3.3.3-27.	Mesh development metrics for SSWD and USFWS sites.	E3.3.3-72
3.3.3-28.	Summary of absolute mean error for final bed files.	E3.3.3-72
3.3.3-29.	Simulation discharges run for SSWD and USFWS models.	E3.3.3-77
3.3.3-30.	Percent of days per month where the No Action Alternative stream water temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is within the EPA guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU. Temperatures are output from SSWD’s Temp Model. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-84
3.3.3-31.	Orders and families of aquatic macroinvertebrates that were found at two locations in the lower Bear River (downstream of the Project).....	E3.3.3-89
3.3.3-32.	Water quality and habitat characteristics collected from SSWD’s 2017 study at the Bear River downstream of Camp Far West Reservoir.	E3.3.3-89
3.3.3-33.	BMI metrics from samples collected from SSWD’s 2017 study at the Bear River downstream of Camp Far West Reservoir.....	E3.3.3-91
3.3.3-34.	Percent of maximum weighted usable area (WUA) for fall-run Chinook salmon spawning and embryo incubation under existing minimum streamflows (Environmental Baseline) and SSWD’s Proposed Project minimum streamflows. The differences between the two scenarios are also presented. All values are presented as the range in percent of maximum WUA that are observed across the three different Instream Flow Study sites.	E3.3.3-94

List of Tables (continued)
Description

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-35.	Percent of days per month where, under the existing condition in Wet WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-96
3.3.3-36.	Percent of days per month where, under the Proposed Project in Wet WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-97
3.3.3-37.	Net change in suitable water temperature between the Proposed Project and existing condition in Wet WYs, in percent of days per month where stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU. Positive values indicate a benefit from the Proposed Project to the given lifestage at the given location.	E3.3.3-98
3.3.3-38.	Percent of days per month where, under the existing condition in Above Normal WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-99

List of Tables (continued)
Description

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-39.	Percent of days per month where, under the Proposed Project in Above Normal WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-100
3.3.3-40.	Net change in suitable water temperature days between the Proposed Project and existing conditions in Above Normal WYs, in percent of days per month where stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU. Positive values indicate a benefit from the Proposed Project to the given lifestage at the given location.....	E3.3.3-101
3.3.3-41.	Percent of days per month where, under the existing condition in Below Normal WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-102
3.3.3-42.	Percent of days per month where, under the Proposed Project in Below Normal WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-103

List of Tables (continued)
Description

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-43.	Net change in suitable water temperature days between the Proposed Project flow schedule and existing minimum streamflows in Below Normal WYs, in percent of days per month where stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific life stages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU. Positive values indicate a benefit from the Proposed Project to the given lifestage at the given location.....	E3.3.3-104
3.3.3-44.	Percent of days per month where, under the existing condition in Dry WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-105
3.3.3-45.	Percent of days per month where, under the Proposed Project in Dry WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-106
3.3.3-46.	Net change in suitable water temperature days between the Proposed Project flow schedule and existing minimum streamflows in Dry WYs, in percent of days per month where stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU. Positive values indicate a benefit from the Proposed Project to the given lifestage at the given location.	E3.3.3-107
3.3.3-47.	Percent of days per month where, under the existing condition in Critically Dry WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-108

List of Tables (continued)
Description

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-48.	Percent of days per month where, under the Proposed Project in Critically Dry WYs, stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon. Temperatures are output from the Temp Model and are expressed as the 7DADM in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization based on periodicity is expected are shown. Zero percent indicates that no days have suitable water temperatures and 100 percent indicates that all the days have suitable water temperatures.....	E3.3.3-109
3.3.3-49.	Net change in suitable water temperature days between the Proposed Project flow schedule and existing minimum streamflows in Critically Dry WYs, in percent of days per month where stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA temperature guidelines for specific lifestages of CV fall-run Chinook salmon ESU. Positive values indicate a benefit from the Proposed Project to the given lifestage at the given location.....	E3.3.3-110
3.3.3-50.	Percent of maximum modeled weighted usable area (WUA) for Chinook salmon fry rearing under existing minimum streamflows (Environmental Baseline) and the water-year-type-specific minimum streamflows that would be implemented under the Proposed Project. The differences between the two scenarios are also presented. All values are presented as the range in percent of maximum WUA that are observed across the three different Instream Flow Study sites.....	E3.3.3-111
3.3.3-51.	Percent of maximum modeled weighted usable area (WUA) for Chinook salmon juvenile rearing under existing minimum streamflows (Environmental Baseline) and the water-year-type-specific minimum streamflows that would be implemented under the Proposed Project. The differences between the two scenarios are also presented. All values are presented as the range in percent of maximum WUA that are observed across the three different Instream Flow Study sites.....	E3.3.3-112

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.3-52.	Number of days (and percent of total number of days), by water year type, where 7DADM water temperatures in the lower Bear River below the non-Project diversion dam are less than EPA (2003) guidelines for salmonid rearing (16°C) under the Proposed Project and an alternative scenario where the Camp Far West low-level outlet (LLO) would be utilized in an attempt to reduce stream temperatures in the lower Bear River for the benefit of rearing salmonids. Also shown are the differences in suitable temperature days between the two scenarios – positive differences indicate a benefit from reoperation of the low-level outlet, while negative differences indicate detrimental temperature effects of reoperating the low-level output compared to the Proposed Project.	E3.3.3-121
3.3.4-1.	Acres of each VegCAMP vegetation classification within the Camp Far West Hydroelectric proposed FERC Project Boundary and adjacent area.....	E3.3.4-2
3.3.4-2.	Acres of each VegCAMP vegetation classification downstream of the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project.	E3.3.4-8
3.3.4-3.	Special-status plants known or with the potential to occur in the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project Vicinity.	E3.3.4-18
3.3.4-4.	NNIP known to occur or potentially occurring in the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project Vicinity.	E3.3.4-25
3.3.4-5.	Wildlife habitat types in the proposed FERC Project Boundary.1	E3.3.4-34
3.3.4-6.	Special-Status wildlife species (i.e., reptiles, birds, and mammals) occurring or potentially occurring in the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Project Area.	E3.3.4-36
3.3.4-7.	List of Project facilities and recreation facilities that were surveyed by SSWD in September 2015 for evidence of bat use and results of the survey.	E3.3.4-48
3.3.4-8.	Incidental bird species observed while conducting the relicensing Special-Status Raptor Study.	E3.3.4-52
3.3.4-9.	Commercially-valuable wildlife species occurring or potentially occurring in the Camp Far West Hydroelectric Proposed Project Boundary.....	E3.3.4-63
3.3.4-10.	NWI palustrine, riverine, and lacustrine wetland classes within the proposed Camp Far West proposed FERC Project Boundary.	E3.3.4-71
3.3.4-11.	Aquatic resources located during 2018 delineation.	E3.3.4-73
3.3.4-12.	NWI palustrine, riverine, and lacustrine wetland classes found along the Bear River from Camp Far West Dam to the Feather River.....	E3.3.4-79
3.3.4-13.	Vegetation types, origin, and riparian status in the relicensing Special-Status Plants and Non-Native Invasive Plants Study area.	E3.3.4-83

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.4-14.	Acreages of VegCAMP habitat inundated by Pool Raise.	E3.3.4-88
3.3.5-1.	ESA-Listed species occurring or potentially occurring in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.5-10
3.3.5-2.	Life stage-specific periodicities for CV steelhead DPS in the Yuba River (shaded boxes indicate temporal utilization of the Yuba River, and assumed in this Exhibit E for the Bear River). Reproduced from Lower Yuba River Accord River Management Team (2013).	E3.3.5-36
3.3.5-3.	Percent of days per month where the No Action Alternative stream temperature at four locations in the lower Bear River is less than EPA guidelines for specific lifestages of steelhead. Temperatures are output from the water temperature model developed in Study 2.2, and are expressed as the 7-day average of the daily maxima (7DADM) in degrees Celsius. For each lifestage, only months where utilization is expected are shown; lifestage utilization periodicities are derived from steelhead utilization of the Yuba River. The number of days for each month in the period of record from which the temperature model was developed are shown in the bottom row.	E3.3.5-40
3.3.5-4.	CV spring-run Chinook salmon ESU lifestage periodicity based on information presented for the Yuba River. CV spring-run Chinook salmon ESU do not occupy the Bear River, so a nearby surrogate basin was used for discussion.	E3.3.5-48
3.3.5-5.	A general timeline of Southern DPS of North American green sturgeon life history, from egg to adult, with length-at-life-stage information provided. Table reproduced from NMFS (2016a).	E3.3.5-55
3.3.6-1.	Recreation facilities at the NSRA and SSRA.	E3.3.6-2
3.3.6-2.	Project recreation use estimate in Recreation Days by season and day type.	E3.3.6-30
3.3.6-3.	Annual recreation use estimate projections through 2060 based on county population growth rates for Sacramento, Placer, Yuba and Sutter counties.	E3.3.6-31
3.3.6-4.	Project campground occupancy by season and day type.	E3.3.6-32
3.3.6-5.	Average peak season occupancy projections by day type for the Project campgrounds, 2020-2060.	E3.3.6-34
3.3.6-6.	Project parking area occupancy by season and day type.	E3.3.6-35
3.3.6-7.	Average peak season parking area occupancy projections by day type, 2020-2060.	E3.3.6-36
3.3.6-8.	Project picnic area occupancy by season and day type.	E3.3.6-36

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.6-9.	Average peak season picnic area occupancy projections by day type, 2020-2060.	E3.3.6-37
3.3.6-10.	Average observed vehicles and shoreline users at the NSRA Jet Ski Cove dispersed use area by season and day type, 2017.	E3.3.6-38
3.3.6-11.	Average observed vehicles and shoreline users at the NSRA Boss Point dispersed use area by season and day type, 2017.	E3.3.6-39
3.3.6-12.	Average observed vehicles and shoreline users at the SSRA Entrance Gate dispersed use area by season and day type, 2017.	E3.3.6-39
3.3.6-13.	Average observed vehicles and shoreline users at the SSRA Quarter Mile Cove dispersed use area by season and day type, 2017.	E3.3.6-40
3.3.6-14.	Summary of completed visitor surveys by recreation area, facility and season. E3.3.6-	E3.3.6-41
3.3.6-15.	Summary of functional range of NSRA and SSRA boat ramps by water year (WY) type.	E3.3.6-43
3.3.6-16.	Summary of completed visitor surveys by recreation area, facility and season.	E3.3.6-48
3.3.6-17.	Similar reservoir-based public recreation opportunities within 35 mi of the Project.	E3.3.6-49
3.3.6-18.	Regional alternatives to Camp Far West Reservoir.	E3.3.6-49
3.3.6-19.	Summary of facilities and features affected at the North and South Shore Recreation Areas by pool raise to 305 ft elevation.	E3.3.6-52
3.3.6-20.	Summary of roads, parking areas and vehicle surfacing areas affected at the North and South Shore Recreation Areas by pool raise to 305 ft elevation.	E3.3.6-53
3.3.7-1.	Summary of county land within the existing FERC Project Boundary.	E3.3.7-1
3.3.7-2.	Distribution of public and private lands in Yuba County.	E3.3.7-2
3.3.7-3.	Distribution of public and private lands in Placer County.	E3.3.7-2
3.3.7-4.	Distribution of public and private lands in Nevada County.	E3.3.7-3
3.3.7-5.	Zoning Ordinance land use categories in the Project Vicinity.	E3.3.7-3
3.3.7-6.	Land Use Designations in counties for Camp Far West facilities.	E3.3.7-11
3.3.7-7.	Fires within the Camp Far West Project Vicinity from 1967 through 2016.	E3.3.7-12
3.3.7-8.	Fires within the Camp Far West existing FERC Project Boundary from 1967 through 2016.	E3.3.7-13
3.3.7-9.	Fire occurrence analysis statistics by cause from 1967 through 2014.	E3.3.7-17

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
3.3.7-10.	List of property owners who would have 0.5 acres or more of land impacted by SSWD’s proposed expansion of the FERC Project boundary.	E3.3.7-18
3.3.8-1.	Aesthetic character of Project features within the Camp Far West Project Area.....	E3.3.8-5
3.3.9-1.	Summary of Yuba County population and housing units, 1970-2010.....	E3.3.9-2
3.3.9-2.	Summary of Yuba County by age group in Yuba County and the State of California, 2010.	E3.3.9-2
3.3.9-3.	Summary of household units and income in Yuba County and the State of California.	E3.3.9-2
3.3.9-4.	Summary of population by gender and race in Yuba County and the State of California, 2010.	E3.3.9-3
3.3.9-5.	Summary of industry statistics for Yuba County, 2016.....	E3.3.9-4
3.3.9-6.	Summary of Placer County population and housing units, 1970 - 2010.	E3.3.9-5
3.3.9-7.	Summary of population by age in Placer County and the State of California, 2010.	E3.3.9-5
3.3.9-8.	Summary of household units and income in Placer County and the State of California.	E3.3.9-5
3.3.9-9.	Summary of population by gender and race in Placer County and the State of California, 2010.	E3.3.9-6
3.3.9-10.	Summary of industry statistics for Placer County, 2016.	E3.3.9-7
3.3.9-11.	Summary of Nevada County population and housing units, 1970-2010.	E3.3.9-8
3.3.9-12.	Summary of population by age group in Nevada County and the State of California, 2010.	E3.3.9-8
3.3.9-13.	Summary of household units, homeownership, home value, and income in Nevada County and the State of California.	E3.3.9-9
3.3.9-14.	Summary of population by gender and race in Nevada County and the State of California, 2010.	E3.3.9-9
3.3.9-15.	Summary of industry statistics for Nevada County, 2016.	E3.3.9-10
3.3.9-16.	Federal, State, and local agencies Licensee pays annually for Project-related services.....	E3.3.9-11
3.3.10-1.	Summary table of all archaeological sites identified within the APE.	E3.3.10-4
3.3.10-2.	Summary table of all built environment resources identified within the APE.	E3.3.10-13
3.3.10-3.	Summary table of eligible or unevaluated archaeological sites identified within the APE.....	E3.3.10-15
3.3.10-4.	Summary table of eligible or unevaluated archaeological sites identified within the APE.....	E3.3.10-20

List of Tables (continued)

Table No.	Description	Page No.
4.2-1.	Assumptions and cost items common to the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project.....	E4-3
4.2-2.	Assumptions and cost items not common to the No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project.....	E4-3
4.2-3.	SSWD’s estimated costs in 2018 dollars related to implementation of SSWD’s Proposed Measures as part of continued operation of the Project.	E4-5
4.3-1.	Comparison of annual power benefits, costs net benefits between No Action Alternative and SSWD’s Proposed Project.....	E4-7

List of Attachments

- Attachment 1.0A The California Coastal Commission’s March 13, 2018 concurrence letter
- Attachment 3.3.1A Channel form and LWM maps
- Attachment 3.3.3A Final rating curves for the Upstream and Downstream sites
- Attachment 3.3.3B Final HSC and a description of the HSC selection procedure
- Attachment 3.3.3C Fall-Run Chinook and Steelhead Map Sets
- Attachment 3.3.4A SSWD’s Complete Floristic List
- Attachment 3.3.4B Map of NNIP Occurrences
- Attachment 3.3.4C NNIP Data Table
- Attachment 3.3.5A IPaC Report
- Attachment 3.3.6A Recreation Use and Visitor Survey Results
- Attachment 3.3.6B Pool Raise Recreation Impact Figures

List of Appendices

- Appendix E1 SSWD’s Operations Model, Hydrology Data, and Study Data
- Appendix E2 SSWD’s Proposed Measures
- Appendix E3 Written Comments Received Regarding SSWD’s Draft License Application
- Appendix E4 SSWD’s Reply to Agency Comments
- Appendix E5 SSWD’s Reply to FERC Comments
- Appendix E6 Summary of PM&E Resolution Meeting
- Appendix E7 Sycamore Associates Biological Assessment

Page Left Blank